

to CIE 203:2012 A Computerized Approach to Transmission and Absorption Characteristics of the Human Eye

1. Page 14:

Paragraph after Equation (3):

“Tables A.3 and A.6 of this report describe the spectral transmission of a young (< 10 years old) human eye. The rhesus monkeys upon which the curves are based were 2 years to 8 years old. An excellent match was found for the data of Table A.3 when the age was set to 1 year to 10 years and the empirical equations of van de Kraats (2007) were slightly modified to adjust ...”

should read:

“Tables A.3 and A.6 of this report describe the spectral transmission of a young (< 10 years old) human eye. The rhesus monkeys upon which the curves are based were 2 years to 8 years old. An excellent match was found for the data of Table A.3 when the age was set to 1 year **and** 10 years, and the empirical equations of van de Kraats (2007) were slightly modified to adjust ...”

2. Page 14:

Equation (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{z, \text{media}}(\lambda) = & (0,15 + 0,00031 \cdot a^2) \cdot (400/\lambda)^4 \\
 & + 14,19 \times 10,68 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,057 \cdot (\lambda - 273)]^2\}) \\
 & + (1,05 - 0,000\ 063 \cdot a^2) \cdot 2,13 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,029 \cdot (\lambda - 370)]^2\}) \\
 & + (0,059 + 0,000\ 186 \cdot a^2) \cdot 11,95 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,021 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) \\
 & + (0,016 + 0,000\ 132 \cdot a^2) \cdot 1,43 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,008 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) + 0,06 \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

should read:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{z, \text{media}}(\lambda) = & (0,15 + \mathbf{0,000031} \cdot a^2) \cdot (400/\lambda)^4 \\
 & + 14,19 \times 10,68 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,057 \cdot (\lambda - 273)]^2\}) \\
 & + (1,05 - 0,000\ 063 \cdot a^2) \cdot 2,13 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,029 \cdot (\lambda - 370)]^2\}) \\
 & + (0,059 + 0,000\ 186 \cdot a^2) \cdot 11,95 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,021 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) \\
 & + (0,016 + 0,000\ 132 \cdot a^2) \cdot 1,43 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,008 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) + 0,06 \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Page 16:

First sentence on this page:

“Van de Kraats (2007) also included an empirical equation giving the transmittance for a larger field of view (> 3° vs. 1°), which differed from the total transmission equation in that it provided a stronger scatter function and greater baseline optical density.”

should read:

“Van de Kraats (2007) also included an empirical equation giving the transmittance for a **small** field of view (**1° vs. > 3°**), which differed from the total transmission equation in that it provided a stronger scatter function and greater baseline optical density.”

4. Page 16:

Equation (5):

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\tau, \text{media}}(\lambda) = & (0,3 + 0,00031 \cdot a^2) \cdot (400/\lambda)^4 \\ & + 14,19 \times 10,68 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,057 \cdot (\lambda - 273)]^2\}) \\ & + (1,05 - 0,000063 \cdot a^2) \cdot 2,13 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,029 \cdot (\lambda - 370)]^2\}) \\ & + (0,059 + 0,000186 \cdot a^2) \cdot 11,95 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,021 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) \\ & + (0,016 + 0,000132 \cdot a^2) \cdot 1,43 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,008 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) + 0,17 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

should read:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\tau, \text{media}}(\lambda) = & (0,3 + \mathbf{0,000031} \cdot a^2) \cdot (400/\lambda)^4 \\ & + 14,19 \times 10,68 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,057 \cdot (\lambda - 273)]^2\}) \\ & + (1,05 - 0,000063 \cdot a^2) \cdot 2,13 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,029 \cdot (\lambda - 370)]^2\}) \\ & + (0,059 + 0,000186 \cdot a^2) \cdot 11,95 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,021 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) \\ & + (0,016 + 0,000132 \cdot a^2) \cdot 1,43 \cdot \exp(-\{[0,008 \cdot (\lambda - 325)]^2\}) + 0,17 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$