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Abstract

The traceable calibration of an Imaging Luminance Measurement Device (ILMD) or of the luminance distribution of a light source requires knowledge about numerous contributions to measurement errors and uncertainties. Typically, a light source with a uniform luminance is used to characterize the relative non-uniformity of the photo-response (PRNU) (Bünger et al., 2015). In case of a non-uniform luminance, a sequence of translation can be used (Ferrero et al., 2014). For keeping a fixed distance, a translation parallel to the light source, cf. Figure 1, is suitable. But the determined PRNU will still include artefacts from a non-ideal directionality of the source, e.g. if it is not a perfect Lambertian emitter (Schrader and Sperling, 2016).
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1 Motivation, specific objective

The traceable calibration of an Imaging Luminance Measurement Device (ILMD) or of the luminance distribution of a light source requires knowledge about numerous contributions to measurement errors and uncertainties. Typically, a light source with a uniform luminance is used to characterize the relative non-uniformity of the photo-response (PRNU) (Bünger et al., 2015). In case of a non-uniform luminance, a sequence of translation can be used (Ferrero et al., 2014). For keeping a fixed distance, a translation parallel to the light source, cf. Figure 1, is suitable. But the determined PRNU will still include artefacts from a non-ideal directionality of the source, e.g. if it is not a perfect Lambertian emitter (Schrader and Sperling, 2016).
and Sperling, 2016). By rotating the ILMD around the light source, cf. Figure 2, the (local) luminance directionality can be determined.

![Figure 2 – scheme of the ILMD pose with projection paths (dashed) of exemplary pixels, coloured corresponding to a rotation around the ILMD projection centre (left) and around the light source (right).](image)

In order to characterize ILMDs, especially those with a large measurement field angle (e.g. up to 90°), therefore a large range of its pose (position and direction) with respect to a light source is required. Such a pose sequence can only be achieved by an automated positioning system with appropriate, in general six, degrees of freedom.

In combination with a well-characterized ILMD, such a positioning system can also be used for measuring the partial luminance distribution of a light source. By means of the flexible positioning system, the position of the focus plane of an ILMD with respect to the light source can be varied. In contrast to conventional near-field goniophotometers, therefore a measurement sequence with partly redundant datasets, e.g. by tilting the camera around arbitrary points, can be obtained. Such redundant results are useful for demonstrating critical aspects in sampling of a luminance distribution and related limitations of its reconstruction. The latter are an intrinsic property of the measurement result and of ray data extracted from it. Therefore, these limitations need to be known when using ray data for subsequent simulations, e.g. of a luminaire or illumination scene.

## 2 Realized setup

An established photometric bench is extended by a wall mounted articulated industrial robot with six axes, cf. Figure 3. The drives of these axes are monitored by absolute encoders, giving access to the joint angles. The arm length between shoulder (axis 2) and wrist (axis 5) of the robot is 1.3 m. A safe operation with clearance to the environment (wall, floor, chassis, photometric bench) is ensured via the hardware control by a robust collision model and monitoring of the robot working zone by safety contact mats. In contrast to laser scanners (which represents external sources or light or IR radiation), the used safety system does not disturb the photometric measurement. A more detailed additional check for collisions of the ILMD and robot arm (with each other and with the light source) is used in software-based path planning prior to sending movement commands.
Figure 3 – Photograph of a luminance standard on the photometric bench (centre) observed with an ILMD mounted on the new positioning system (right). The laser tracker (top left) for calibrating the pose stands opposite to the positioning system.

The pose is initially referenced with respect to the existing photometric bench system by using autocollimation and a laser tracker. The wrist flange of the articulated robot is equipped with a stable and repeatable mount for ILMDs with a weight of up to several kilograms. The positioning system provides various data connection standards by means of camera link, GigE and USB to the ILMD.

3 Aspects of an absolute pose

Industrial robots are usually employed for the precise repetition of a (relative) pose sequence as programmed by manual teaching. Therefore, such systems are specified with respect to its repeatability. A precise absolute positioning presents an additional challenge. In the installed positioning system this is addressed by an in-field calibration of Denavit–Hartenberg parameters of the kinematic chain and a compensation of gravity related distortions.

The tool centre point (TCP) corresponds to the focus plane of the ILMD which is in a significant distance from the wrist, representing a quite long tool. This gives challenges as small joint angle errors, e.g. due to a backlash of the wrist joints, lead to significant position errors of the TCP. The absolute positioning capabilities are currently under evaluation.

The robot arm segments are in most poses placed behind the ILMD and thus provide a small cross section to the light source, which minimizes interreflections and stray light on the light source. A rotation of the ILMD in up to 1 m distance to the rotation centre, e.g. corresponding to the light source as in the scheme of Figure 2 right, is possible with a rotation angle with more than ±40° with respect to the optical axis of the photometric bench.

4 Conclusions

The new positioning system extends the photometric bench facility – which is already unique worldwide – by a precise change of the ILMD pose at different measuring distances up to 40 m. This enables a characterization of ILMDs as well as of the partial luminance distribution of a light source and will be advantageous for estimating uncertainty contributions related to their...
spatial and angular characteristic. Although the positioning system is not dedicated for measuring the luminance distribution in a full $2\pi$ geometry, these insights are beneficial for discussing uncertainties related to conventional near-field goniophotometry.
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